Estimates of soluble iron from observations and a global mineral aerosol model: Biogeochemical implications.
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Abstract

Desert dust deposition to the ocean may be a significant source of biogeochemically important elements, specifically iron. The bioavailability of iron in the oceans requires it to be in a soluble form, and because atmospheric iron in desert dust is typically insoluble, understanding the atmospheric processes that convert insoluble iron to more soluble forms is essential. Understanding these relationships is especially important in remote ocean regions where iron may be the limiting nutrient. Observations of soluble iron from 2001 cruise-based aerosol measurements over the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans ranged from 0-45% (mean of 4±9%) in the fine mode (<2.5 µm in diameter) and 0-87% (mean of 2±10%) in the coarse mode. We test two simple hypotheses of soluble iron enhancement in the atmosphere using a global model of mineral aerosols. The first method assumes that iron solubility increases as iron is exposed to solar radiation, approximating photoreduction reactions that are important pathways for enhancement of soluble iron in the presence of acidic solutions. The second process imitates cloud processing of iron by increasing the amount of soluble iron when the mineral aerosol comes into contact with a cloud. Both methods resulted in similar average magnitudes of percent soluble iron compared to observations, but did not capture specific events or have sufficient variability, perhaps because the model does not include aerosol interactions between species other than mineral dust or other processes that may be important.

1.0 Introduction

Iron is critical to ocean primary productivity because of its role as a rate-limiting nutrient for microorganisms. Iron has been hypothesized to limit phytoplankton productivity in high nitrate low-chlorophyll (HNLC) ocean regions [Martin et al., 1991]. In these regions,
chlorophyll levels are lower than expected because low concentrations of iron restrict the growth of phytoplankton and limit primary production [Martin et al., 1991]. Areas of the world’s oceans that are considered HNLC include the subarctic and equatorial Pacific Ocean and the Southern Ocean; these regions are also in areas of low dust deposition [e.g. Fung et al., 2000]. In these regions, upwelled iron may be insufficient for the usage of other nutrients, therefore an external source of iron is required in order to utilize these nutrients [e.g. Martin et al., 1991; Measures and Vink, 1999, 2000; Fung et al., 2000; Vink and Measures, 2001]. Deposition of iron to these regions also has important implications for the CO$_2$ budget, as increases in iron to the oceans may result in a decrease of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere on glacial time scales [e.g. Watson et al., 1994; Cooper et al., 1996; Lefèvre and Watson, 1999; Watson and Lefèvre, 1999]. It also has been proposed that iron in marine aerosols may affect the sulfur cycle through oxidation reactions [Zhuang et al., 1992] and in turn the climate feedback loop through dimethyl sulfide (DMS) oxidation and cloud condensation nuclei formation [Charlson et al., 1987; Turner et al., 1996].

The biogeochemical processes involving iron in the ocean are dependent on the solubility of iron, which is a strong function of the oxidation state of iron along with its mineralogy. On average, the crustal abundance of iron is ~3.5 % [Duce and Tindale, 1991], and is primarily insoluble ferric iron (Fe(III)) in aluminosilicate form [Zhu et al., 1997]. Studies of iron solubility in soils is on average less than 0.1%, (at an extraction of pH of 4.65) [Fung et al., 2000], implying that atmospheric processing of mineral aerosols may be important for increasing iron availability. Photochemical processes reducing iron to a more soluble state (Fe(II)) have been the focus of several experimental studies [e.g. Zuo and Hoigné, 1992; Pehkonen et al., 1993; Siefert et al, 1994; Zuo, 1995; Saydam and Senyuva, 2002]. These studies have shown that aqueous
complexes between Fe(III) and specific organic and inorganic ligands can play an important role in the photoreduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) in cloud water or deliquesced aerosols. The steady-state concentrations of Fe(II) are strongly dependent on the pH of solution and the available solar radiation [Zuo and Hoigné, 1992].

Several in situ observations have measured the solubility of iron in mineral aerosols (see Table 1). For example, Zhuang et al. [1990, 1992] found that Fe(II), extracted at a pH of 3-8, ranged from 2.2-49% of iron in the North Pacific Ocean and Barbados. Zhu et al. [1993] found Fe(II) (pH = 1) to be < 1 % of total iron in Barbados. Zhu et al. [1997] later observed a significant diurnal pattern with daytime values of Fe(II) twice as high as night time; daytime values of Fe(II) were a mean of 1.6 % of total iron. Siefert et al. [1997] measured Fe(II) in fog water and cloud water at U.S. sites and found that higher fractions of Fe(II) occurred during the day, with rarely more than 50% of total iron as Fe(II). Over the Arabian Sea, Siefert et al. [1999] determined that Fe(II) in the aerosol was never more than 4% of total iron and that 80% of Fe(II) existed in the fine mode (< 3 µm in diameter). In the North Atlantic, Johansen et al. [2000] observed the mean total Fe(II) to be 0.51 % of total iron, with 86 % of Fe(II) in the fine mode. Edwards and Sedgwick [2001] measured values ranging from 10-90 % from snow samples in Antarctica (20-2950 pg/g dissolvable iron). The range of observed values of Fe(II) from these various studies could be due to differences in measurement techniques and time from collection to analysis, however, atmospheric processes affecting these aerosols could play a large role, including cloud processing.

The enhanced levels of Fe(II) in the fine mode as observed by Siefert et al. [1999] and Johansen et al. [2000] suggest that atmospheric transport may play a role in the size segregation of Fe(II), as large particles are selectively removed due to gravitational settling. However,
different sources of iron may result in higher soluble fractions, as anthropogenic sources are more soluble than crustal sources, and are predominantly found in the fine mode [e.g. Colin and Jaffrezo, 1990; Guieu et al., 1997]. Cloud processing of aerosols could also result in size dependence of soluble iron, as these processes are size and composition dependent. If processing is occurring only on the surface of aerosols, then we expect fine aerosols to be processed more quickly than coarse aerosols because of their higher surface to volume ratio. It is possible that enhanced solubility is due to variability in the iron sources, or may be occurring via transport and sequential cloud processing.

Here we attempt for the first time to use an atmospheric transport model to investigate the roles of photoreduction reactions and cloud processing of mineral aerosols to enhance soluble iron in the atmosphere. Soluble iron from the model is compared with measurements of fine and coarse mode aerosol composition (including ionic and trace elemental species and oxidation states of iron) performed on-board cruise ships as part of the MANTRA and PIRANA projects in the equatorial subtropical and tropical north Atlantic Oceans and Pacific Ocean in 2001. Understanding the processes and timescales for enhancing soluble iron is important for recognizing relationships that result in higher levels of bioavailable iron to the remote oceans, especially HNCL regions, or oligotrophic regions where nitrogen fixation provides a source of new nutrient nitrogen [Capone, 2001]

This paper is organized in six sections. Section 2 describes observed total and soluble iron estimates and other measured aerosol species. Section 3 includes a description of the 3-D transport model and the desert dust module used in this study. Model validation in the cruise-track regions with cruise-based measurements are in Section 3.1, while validations with available satellite and surface-based measurements are presented in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, respectively.
Modeled soluble iron estimates and comparisons to observations are described in Section 4. Implications for using global models for estimating iron solubility and deposition to world oceans will be discussed in Section 5, and Section 6 summarizes the study.

2.0 Cruise-based observations of total and soluble iron

The on-board cruise measurements were performed as part of the MANTRA and PIRANA projects in 2001 and described in detail in [Chen and Siefert, 2004a,b]. These projects were designed to investigate oceanic nitrogen fixation and climate feedbacks (MANTRA) as well as plankton dynamics in the western equatorial Atlantic Ocean (PIRANA). Three cruises are evaluated in this paper. The first cruise (MP01) occurred on-board the R/V Seward Johnson in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean from 6 January to 22 February 2001. It began in Florida and headed directly east to 45° W and then south to 10° N, sampling in an area north of South America for a total of 40 days (see Figure 1a). The second cruise (MP02) occurred on-board the R/V Wecoma in the equatorial Pacific Ocean from 9 April to 30 April 2001. It traveled from the coast of Hawaii to 28° N, 170° E before returning along the same track for a total of 21 days (see Figure 1b). The third cruise (MP03) occurred on-board the R/V Knorr in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean from 25 June to 19 August 2001, leaving the Canary Islands at 30° N and cruising west to 45° W before heading diagonally south to 12° N, 60° W and sampling in an area north of South America for a total of 50 days (see Figure 1c). The locations and time periods of these cruises reflect the typical patterns of long-range transport of Asian (Pacific) and African (Atlantic) dust due to seasonal changes in large-scale circulation patterns and the position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) [Husar et al., 1997].
Aerosol measurements were performed on-board using size resolved samplers to separate fine (diameter, $D_p < 2.5 \mu m$) and coarse mode ($D_p > 2.5 \mu m$) aerosol composition, following the methods outlined in Chen and Siefert [2004a,b], Siefert et al., [1999] and Johansen et al., [1999, 2000]. Trace element concentrations were determined with an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) and ion analyses were performed using ion chromatography (IC). Measurements of soluble Fe were conducted immediately after sample collection to reduce possible oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) during storage. Total labile Fe measurements include the contributions of Fe(II) and Fe(III) that is easily reduced to Fe(II). Analytical procedures are described by Chen and Seifert. [2004a,b].

We define iron solubility ($Fe_{sol}$) as the percent of total iron ($Fe_{tot}$) that is Fe(II) (e.g., $Fe_{sol} = 100 * Fe(II)/Fe_{tot}$). Larger values of $Fe_{sol}$ could be due to large concentrations of Fe(II), or small values of $Fe_{tot}$, or both. Values of $Fe_{sol}$ that correspond to very low concentration of $Fe_{tot}$ may be subject to errors due to uncertainties in concentrations that are close to the detection limit. We use only Fe(II) (instead of Fe(II) and easily-reducible Fe(III)) in the definition of $Fe_{sol}$ because Fe(II) observations have been reported by other research groups and are less dependent on extraction methods.

2.1 Observed total and soluble iron

During MP01, the first Atlantic cruise (6 January – 22 February 2001), total iron was observed primarily in the fine mode (68 %), and concentrations were relatively low during the first part of the cruise, and were higher during the second part of the cruise, as the ship crossed into the main North African dust plume (and closer to South America, where anthropogenic sources may be important) (see Figure 2). Table 2 lists the mean and one standard deviation in
fine and coarse mode iron concentrations. Fine and coarse mode iron concentrations were highly correlated with aluminum ($r = 0.99$), suggesting that iron was associated with natural mineral aerosols.

A wide range in iron solubility was observed during this cruise (see Figures 3a and 3b). The average and one standard deviation of Fe$_{\text{sol}}$ for the fine and coarse modes was $7 \pm 13\%$ and $5 \pm 15\%$, respectively (Table 3). The fine mode solubility was 1.3 times higher than the coarse mode and ferrous iron was predominantly observed in the fine mode (73\%) (see Table 4).

During MP02, the Pacific cruise (9-30 April 2001), total iron concentrations were lower than the MP01 cruise (see Table 2), and iron was observed equally in both modes (52\% in fine mode). Fine and coarse mode iron concentrations were highly correlated with aluminum ($r = 0.99$), suggesting iron was associated with natural mineral aerosols.

No major events in solubility were observed during the MP02 Pacific cruise (Figures 5a and 5b). The mean fine and coarse mode values of Fe$_{\text{sol}}$ were $1.7 \pm 0.8\%$ and $0.6 \pm 0.2\%$, respectively, considerably lower than the MP01 cruise (see Table 3). Fine mode Fe$_{\text{sol}}$ was 2.8 times higher than coarse mode Fe$_{\text{sol}}$ and ferrous iron concentrations were primarily observed in the fine mode (75\%). Mean values of fine and coarse mode Fe(II) concentrations can be found in Table 5.

During the MP03 cruise in the Atlantic (25 June – 19 August 2001), total iron was equally distributed across the fine and coarse modes (48\% in fine mode), with mean fine and coarse mode iron concentrations listed in Table 2 (see Figure 6). Fine and coarse mode iron concentrations were highly correlated with aluminum ($r = 0.98$ and $r = 0.97$, respectively). For the majority of this cruise, iron solubility was relatively low (Figures 7a and 7b). The fine mode was 14 times more soluble than the coarse mode, with a mean fine and coarse mode Fe$_{\text{sol}}$ of $2 \pm 7$
% and 0.2 ± 0.3 %, respectively. Fe(II) concentrations were primarily in the fine mode (87 %). Although total iron concentrations were equally distributed across the two size modes, enhanced Fe(II) concentrations in the fine mode suggest processes that are more efficient at converting soluble iron at smaller sizes, or differences in composition of the source aerosols. This enhancement is also observed in MP01 and MP02.

Overall these cruises show a large variability in fine mode iron solubility (4 ± 9 %) and fine mode total iron amounts (0.2 ± 0.2 µg m⁻³). The fine mode was 1.6 more soluble relative to the coarse mode.

### 2.2 Correlations of iron solubility and aerosol species

Experimental studies suggest that interactions of iron with other aerosol species in solution could be very important in the enhancement of the bioavailable iron. Specifically, reactions of ferric iron with organic species such as oxalate could play a significant role in producing soluble iron [e.g. Zuo and Hoigné, 1992; Zhu et al., 1993; Pehkonen et al., 1993; Siefert et al., 1994; Zuo, 1995]. Oxalic acid is common in cloud water, and has anthropogenic sources such as incomplete combustion, ozonolysis and photooxidation of hydrocarbons [Warneck, 2003]. Saydam and Senyuva [2002] found enhancements of soluble iron through in-cloud photochemical reductions assisted by oxalate and suggested that fungi in the soils were a natural source. Inorganic aerosol solutions can also be important if mineral aerosols are coated with hygroscopic species such as sulfates and nitrates [e.g. Zhuang et al., 1992; Zhu et al. 1992]. Cycles of evaporation and condensation can result in very acidic solutions with high ionic strengths and can enhance the reduction of Fe(III) [Zhu et al., 1997].
Understanding the role of other aerosol species on these reduction reactions involving iron is necessary for recognizing the processes that govern the enhancement of soluble iron and its deposition to the ocean. As Jickells and Spokes [2001] suggest, wet deposition is likely to be responsible for the deposition of iron to the oceans, partly due to the large fraction of wet deposited mineral aerosols. Soil mineral surfaces strongly attract water [e.g. Koretsky et al., 1997], and thus are likely to be easily incorporated into cloud water as either CCN or scavenged. Mineral aerosols that are mixed with other hygroscopic species would be more susceptible to removal by precipitation scavenging. Increases in anthropogenic influences that result in higher levels of soluble iron being deposited to the oceans would have important implications for biogeochemical cycles. We investigate the effects of other aerosol species by exploring the relationships between soluble iron and measured aerosol composition, specifically sulfate and oxalate.

**MP01: Atlantic Cruise (6 January – 22 February 2001)**

Non-sea-salt sulfate (nss-SO$_4^{2-}$) contributions to total sulfate were determined from measured sodium concentrations and the ratio of sodium and sulfate in seawater (0.252, Seinfeld and Pandis, 1997). Non-sea-salt sources of sulfate are derived from oxidation of anthropogenic SO$_2$ and biogenic sources such as oxidation of DMS. On average 83 % of nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ was in the fine mode. Of the total SO$_4^{2-}$ in the fine mode, 69 % of it is non-sea-salt derived, while for the coarse mode it was much less (28 %). It is possible that our estimates of nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ include some biogenic sources.

As suggested by Zhu et al. [1993], acidic aerosol solutions can decrease the oxidation of Fe(II) back to Fe(III). Ammonium often is observed in the fine mode and acts to neutralize acidic
species such as sulfate and nitrate. The molar ratio of \( \text{NH}_4^+/\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-} \) is an indication of aerosol acidity and speciation. A value of 0 corresponds to sulfuric acid and a value of 2 indicates the aerosol is fully neutralized (\( \text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4 \)). The average fine mode ratio during this cruise was 0.6 ± 0.3 (average and one standard deviation), suggesting acidic aerosols. These values do not include the effects of other species that could influence the aerosol acidity, such as non-sea salt calcium. The coarse mode ratio was not computed because of the uncertainties associated with low nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations in the denominator. The total (fine and coarse mode) ratio was also 0.6 ± 0.3.

Time series of fine and coarse mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) are shown in Figure 8a and Figure 8b, respectively. Correlations between fine mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and Fe(II) concentrations and Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\) suggest the possibility that aerosol interactions played a role in enhancing soluble iron (see Table 6 for correlation coefficients). Coarse mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) was not correlated with either Fe(II) concentrations or Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\). No correlations were found between the \( \text{NH}_4^+/\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-} \) ratio and Fe(II) concentrations or Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\) for either modes, however, on days with high Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\) (e.g. days 8, 10, 12 and 23), the fine mode molar ratio was low (~ 0.5 ). The ship was near the Florida coast for days 8-12 (see Figure 1a); on day 23 the ship was nearing the coast of South America and it is possible that anthropogenic influences were a factor.

Oxalate concentrations were correlated with Fe(II) concentrations in the fine mode, but not with Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\) (see Figures 8a and 8b and Table 6). However, in the coarse mode, oxalate concentrations were correlated with both Fe(II) concentrations and Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\). During the early part of the cruise oxalate concentrations were higher and correlated with nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations in both the fine mode and the coarse mode, suggesting both may have been anthropogenic in origin (Table 6). It is possible that sulfate, oxalate or other aerosol species may have contributed to the
processing of iron during this cruise. The high values of Fe_{sol} observed during the early part of the cruise and on day 23 correspond to higher concentrations of anthropogenic species and more acidic aerosols, conditions that have been shown to enhance soluble iron (although the increased Fe(II) could be due to anthropogenic sources of Fe as well).

**MP02: Pacific Cruise (9-30 April 2001)**

Aerosol composition during this cruise appeared to be strongly affected by sea salt. Contributions of nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ were very low and comprised a small fraction (~20%) of total SO$_4^{2-}$ in each mode. The fine mode NH$_4^+/nss$- SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio of 0.8 ± 0.3 suggested a less acidic aerosol on average compared to the MP01 cruise. The total (fine and coarse mode) molar ratio was 0.7 ± 0.3. High correlations were observed in the fine mode between nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and Fe(II) concentrations and Fe$_{sol}$, but not in the coarse mode (see Table 6 and Figures 9a and 9b). Fine mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and Fe$_{sol}$ both increased on day 107 (April 17), and the NH$_4^+/nss$-SO$_4^{2-}$ molar ratio was relatively low on this day (0.78).

Lower oxalate concentrations were correlated with nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the fine mode, and were not significantly correlated in the coarse mode (see Table 6 and Figures 9a and 9b). A peak in fine mode oxalate occurred on day 107, similarly to nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and Fe$_{sol}$ (see Figure 12a). On this day the ship was at its furthest point from Hawaii (see Figure 1b). Oxalate concentrations were correlated with Fe(II) concentrations in the fine mode. Although Fe$_{sol}$ was relatively low and stable during this cruise and the aerosol seemed to be predominantly sea salt in origin, the correlations between observed composition and soluble iron suggest that the available nss-SO$_4$ and oxalic species may have had some role in converting Fe(III) to Fe(II).
Non-sea-salt SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations were lower on average compared to the MP01 cruise and were predominantly in the fine mode (80%) (see Figures 10a and 10b). Fine mode total SO$_4^{2-}$ was comprised mostly of nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ (70%), suggesting less impact by sea-salt than the coarse mode (57%). The NH$_4^+/nss$-SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio was 0.75 ± 0.5 for both modes combined, corresponding to a relatively acidic aerosol. Neither Fe(II) concentrations or Fe$_{sol}$ concentrations were correlated with nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ in either mode, nor was there any indication of high nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ on days with high Fe$_{sol}$ (see Table 6 and Figures 10a and 10b).

Total oxalate concentrations were relatively low during this cruise and found predominantly in the fine mode (80%) (see Figures 10a and 10b). Oxalate concentrations were correlated with nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ in the coarse mode but not the fine mode. Fe(II) concentrations and Fe$_{sol}$ were uncorrelated with oxalate in both modes (Table 6).

The combined results from all three cruises demonstrate the complexity of the processes involving iron and other aerosol species. In fact, no consistent picture emerges to reveal the role of aerosol species in iron processing. It is interesting that the cruise with the highest correlations between iron solubility and aerosol species (MP02) in fact never had any high solubility “events” compared to the other cruises. However, as experimental studies have shown, the necessary environment for enhancing soluble iron was present for all cruises, namely, acidic aerosol solutions with hygroscopic species that could participate in cloud cycling, and inorganic and organic species of anthropogenic origin.

One relationship evident in observations from all three cruises is the size segregation in iron solubility. On average, fine mode iron solubility was higher than coarse mode iron solubility for all the cruises (see Table 5), and Fe(II) concentrations were predominantly higher in the fine
mode (see Table 5). Regardless of the size distribution of total iron in the aerosol, the preferential selection of the fine mode Fe(II) suggests that either the sources of soluble iron were predominantly associated with the fine mode, the fine mode was preferentially selected by the processing, or that the fine mode had a longer lifetime and thus was processed longer before being removed. This size segregation is an important result because smaller particles have longer lifetimes, allowing them to be transported to remote regions where total iron deposition is lower, and the ocean biota may be experiencing iron limitation.

In the following sections we present a modeling study designed to investigate the roles of atmospheric processes that enhance iron solubility. With simple assumptions, we investigate size segregation of iron solubility, the importance of photoreduction reactions, and the importance of cloud processes in enhancing soluble iron. Before presenting our modeled iron solubility and comparisons with observations, we first provide a brief description of the model and comparison with surface and remote-sensing observations of total iron.

3.0 Model Description

The Dust Entrainment And Deposition (DEAD) desert dust module described by Zender et al. [2003] and Mahowald et al. [2002], coupled with the Model of Atmospheric Transport and Chemistry (MATCH) [Rasch et al., 1997; Mahowald et al., 1997], was used to simulate the global distribution of desert dust in the atmosphere for 2001. MATCH is driven by the National Center for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP) reanalysis meteorology [Kalnay et al., 1996; Kistler et al., 2001] and has a horizontal resolution of T62 (1.8° × 1.8°), a vertical resolution of 28 levels (surface to 10 mbar) and is available every 6 hours.
Entrainment of soil particles in the atmosphere begins with saltation from strong winds over dry and bare soils. Mobilization of the particles into the atmosphere for further transport occurs when a fraction of the horizontal flux is converted to a vertical flux. The mobilization scheme is sensitive to soil wetness, wind velocity and atmospheric stability, and is described further by Zender et al. [2003]. The aerosol are characterized by four size bins, ranging in diameter from 0.1 – 1.0 µm, 1.0 – 2.5 µm, 2.5 – 5.0 µm and 5.0 – 10 µm. Lognormal size distributions are assumed within each size bin. Source mass fractions vary per bin, with 10 % for the smallest size bin and 30 % for each of the three larger size bins [Mahowald et al., 2002].

Due to insufficient global soil characterization data, we assume soil particles that are optimally sized to initiate saltation, while applying a factor to describe the fraction of the grid box consisting of easily erodible soils. We assume all topographic lows with unvegetated, dry soils are potential source areas and apply the methodology of Ginoux et al. [2001] for source areas. The sources described above were used to simulate total dust concentrations in the atmosphere.

We used two different source profiles to determine the iron fraction of the mineral aerosols in the model. First, we derived total iron concentrations in the atmosphere by assuming 3.5% of total dust is iron [Duce and Tindale, 1991]. The second source profile (referred to as the “iron map”) was based on the measurements of soluble iron extracted at a pH of 4.65 from soil samples [Sillanpää, 1982], and the global extrapolation of the measurements based on a map of soil types [Fung et al., 2000]. As expected, the extractable soil Fe content decreases with increasing soil pH and CaCO₃ equivalents, and increases with texture and organic carbon content. The soil studies show that the iron may exist as coatings on soil particles, as concretions or nodules, and that there is significant transformation of iron in the presence of organic matter.
and microorganisms. Extractable soil Fe is as low as 0.17% for xerosols and as high as 2.5% for histosols. The map shown in Figure 11 demonstrates sensitivity to sources with varying iron abundance in soils. For example, in the Sahel regions there is approximately twice as much iron in the soils as in the nearby Sahara [Claquin et al., 1999, Sokolik and Toon, 1999; Johansen et al., 2000]. The annual average difference between the iron map and the iron map derived by assuming iron is a constant 3.5% fraction of total dust is shown in Figure 12. Notice that the two-fold difference seen in the source regions has been reduced to a 10% difference over much of the oceans due to the mixing of aerosols from different regions in this model.

Loss processes in the model include both dry and wet deposition, both of which are described in detail by Zender et al. [2003]. Dry deposition processes are size dependent and include gravitational settling and turbulent mix-out, resulting in lifetimes ranging from 362 days in the smallest size bin to 1.1 days for the largest size bin. Dry deposition lifetime for the combined size bins is 10.5 days [Luo et al., 2003]. Wet deposition due to scavenging of particles by water is modeled with a simple scavenging coefficient of 750 kg/kg for convective and stratiform precipitation [Tegen and Fung, 1994; Zender et al., 2003]. Wet deposition lifetime is approximately 12 days for each bin. Both the model results and available observations suggest most of the mineral aerosol deposition is due to wet deposition (see Table 7), which is different than the conclusions from Jickells and Spokes [2001], perhaps due to the subset of observational data they review. The comparisons of the model results with observations suggest that the model reasonably estimates the fraction of wet deposition versus dry deposition, but may slightly over predict wet deposition.

The MATCH model simulates the hydrological cycle using both moist convective [Zhang and McFarlane, 1995; Hack, 1995] and stratiform precipitation parameterizations [Rasch and
Kristjanson, 1998], similar to those in the NCAR community climate model. These parameterizations have been shown to recreate similar precipitation off-line as online [e.g. Rasch et al., 1997], and simulate similar precipitation patterns as the NCEP reanalyses when driven with NCEP reanalysis winds [Mahowald et al., 1997; Luo et al., 2003]. However, comparisons with observations show that the NCEP reanalysis precipitation does not capture exactly the precipitation in the observations [e.g. Trenberth and Guillemot, 1998; Luo et al., 2003]. Thus, while the clouds simulated in MATCH should be similar to those in the reanalysis, there will still be errors in the cloud predictions.

Other studies using MATCH/DEAD/NCEP demonstrate the model is realistically simulating observed desert dust climatologies and capturing annual average concentrations globally over many orders of magnitudes, although it is over predicting surface concentrations at many southern hemisphere locations [Mahowald et al., 2002; Mahowald et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2003]. Studies have shown the model is sensitive to meteorological datasets incorporated in MATCH [Luo et al., 2003] and to source areas [Mahowald et al., 2002]. Although the model adequately reproduces mean dust concentrations from many observation sites, occasionally it may not capture particular dust “events” in the same time period. In the next section we compare model results to in situ and remote-sensing observations available during 2001 for the time periods and locations of the MANTRA and PIRANA cruises.

3.1 Comparisons between the model and cruise-based observations

The model over predicted total iron concentrations compared to observations for the Atlantic Ocean cruises (MP01 and MP03), although estimates were correlated (see Table 8 and Figures 2 and 6). The model concentrations did peak at the same time as the observations. In
general the iron map resulted in lower concentrations of total iron compared to the estimates derived assuming 3.5 % of total dust is iron. The correlation coefficients for comparisons between the model estimates and observations during the Pacific cruise (MP02) were not significant and on average the model under predicted total iron for this case, and did not capture the same peaks in concentration (see Table 8 and Figures 4). The model appears to over predict the fraction of iron in the fine mode for all cruises, and also the magnitude of coarse iron concentrations during MP01 and MP03 (Table 5 and 8, respectively).

Aluminum was derived from the model results by assuming 7 % of the total dust is aluminum [Prospero, 1999]. The comparisons of aluminum concentrations between the model and observations mirror the iron concentration comparisons (not shown), with the model over predicting aluminum concentrations on average (see Table 9). Ratios of iron to aluminum assumed in the model (Fe/Al = 0.5) were similar to observed values on average, especially for the Atlantic Ocean cruises (see Table 9). These average observed ratios suggest impact from northern Saharan regions that have Fe/Al ratios ranging from 0.3 – 1.1 [Johansen et al., 2000]. Falkovich et al. [2001] obtained values of 0.7 ± 0.3 from single particle analysis of North African dust.

3.2 Comparisons between the model and remote-sensing observations

We incorporate measurements from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard the Earth Observing System (EOS) Terra satellite that acquires daily global observations in 36 spectral bands (0.4 – 1.4 µm) at 3 spatial resolutions (250, 500 and 1 km) [Chu et al., 2002]. Aerosol optical depth (τₐer) is retrieved over vegetated-land at wavelengths of 0.47 and 0.66 µm and over ocean at wavelengths of 0.47, 0.55, 0.66, 0.87, 1.2, 1.6 and 2.1 µm.
Several studies have validated MODIS retrievals with ground based remote sensing networks such as AERONET [Remer et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2002; Ichoku et al., 2002a; Martins et al. 2002; and Levy et al. 2003] and have demonstrated that MODIS is performing within experimental uncertainties ($\Delta \tau_{aer} = \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05 \tau_{aer}$ over ocean and $\Delta \tau_{aer} = \pm 0.05 \pm 0.2 \tau_{aer}$ over land, Remer et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2002a, respectively). We used MODIS retrievals over ocean to validate model-derived dust aerosol optical depths at cruise track locations. We also include $\tau_{aer}$ measured by Microtops II sunphotometer onboard the cruises.

To account for differences in spatial and temporal resolutions between the model and satellite observations, the MAPSS method (MODIS Aerosol and associated Parameters Spatio-temporal Statistics) for computing spatial and temporal statistics described by Ichoku et al. [2002a] was used to compare MODIS level 2 (ungridded) $\tau_{aer}$ with cruise-based sunphotometer data and model results. The satellite overpass must exist within a $50 \times 50$ km window of the ground-based measurement, corresponding to approximately an hour of the surface-based measurement segment [Ichoku et al., 2002a]. A small number of MODIS level 2 observations agreed spatially and temporally with the cruise locations due to orbital parameters or cloud or glint contamination, so comparisons are for MP01 and MP03 cruises only.

Retrieved $\tau_{aer}$ represents total aerosol, whereas model-derived values correspond only to mineral aerosols. Other aerosol types may be present but not represented in the model, however in the remote ocean regions of interest in this study, they are assumed to be insignificant (with perhaps the exception of sea salt). Non-sphericity of dust particles can cause artifacts in aerosol retrievals [Mishchenko et al., 1995; Dubovik et al. 2002] and has not been fully quantified or corrected for MODIS or sunphotometer retrievals. Specifically, discrepancies in the spectral variation of $\tau_{aer}$ have been shown to exist in dusty conditions, and in these conditions MODIS
\( \tau_{aer} \) values agreed more closely with sunphotometer measurements at a wavelength of 660 nm [Levy et al., 2003], so our comparisons were performed at this wavelength.

Model \( \tau_{aer} \) values were derived by multiplying the column-integrated dust concentrations by extinction coefficients at the appropriate wavelengths for each bin and then combining all bins for total aerosol optical depth (see Zender et al., 2003 for dust optical properties). The model and sunphotometer comparisons were made at a wavelength of 0.675 µm and the model and MODIS comparisons were made for a wavelength of 0.66 µm. During the MP01 and MP03 cruises, \( \tau_{aer} \) from the sunphotometer, model and MODIS were all significantly correlated (see Figure 13a and Figure 13b and Table 10). During the MP01 cruise the sunphotometer values were larger on average than the model values, but during the MP03 cruise the model over predicted both remote-sensing observations. During the MP01 cruise, aerosol optical depths increased around day 35 (February 4) and decreased near day 50 (February 19), similarly to total iron concentrations. MODIS \( \tau_{aer} \) are also low during the end of this cruise. During the MP03 cruise, observed and modeled \( \tau_{aer} \) peaked on days 186 and 187 (July 5 and 6) and then remained low for the remainder of the cruise, a somewhat different pattern than total iron concentrations. Error bars corresponding to the Microtops data represent one standard deviation for several (at least 10) successive measurements. Ichoku et al. [2002b] describe some of the errors and uncertainties inherent in measurements with Microtops II sunphotometers. The error bars associated with MODIS retrievals represent the spatial statistics obtained from the MAPSS method.
3.3 Comparisons between the model and surface-based observations

We used data from four surface-stations in the region of the cruise tracks for further validation of the model dust concentrations in addition to the comparisons conducted in previous studies [Luo et al., 2003; Mahowald et al., 2003]. The long-term data set from Barbados (13.25° N, 59.5 ° W, data courtesy D. Savoie) has been incorporated in numerous dust modeling and observational studies [e.g. Prospero and Nees, 1986; Husar et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 1997; Li-Jones and Prospero, 1998; Prospero, 1999; Guelle et al. 2000; Ginoux et al., 2001; Mahowald et al., 2002; Mahowald et al., 2003]. Comparisons of daily averaged observed total dust concentrations to model results in 2001 suggest the model performs reasonably well in predicting daily dust concentrations, but are higher on average (see Table 11). The model is able to capture specific events (e.g. days 100-110, April 10-20 in Figure 14). Higher dust concentrations observed during the MP01 cruise on days 35-50 (February 4 - 19) are also observed at Barbados, as well as higher concentrations during the MP03 cruise on days 185-210 (July 4 – July 29) and days 220-230 (August 8 – 18), suggesting overall dusty conditions in the Atlantic region during these periods. Comparisons to observed climatological means suggests that during 2001 dust concentrations in Barbados were lower than average in summer and higher than average in autumn. The model dust concentrations are higher than the observations during 2001 at Barbados, but tend to agree with the observation climatology in Barbados from 1979-2000 [Luo et al., 2003], suggesting that the year 2001 is not as well simulated as other years. Table 10 shows that the model tends to over predict the coarse mode more than the fine mode.

The IMPROVE network (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments, Malm et al., 1994) has been measuring aerosol composition at several class 1 areas in the United States since 1988. The network currently operates over 160 sites, with PM$_{2.5}$ (particles with
aerodynamic diameters less than 2.5 µm) aerosol speciation and PM$_{10}$ total mass concentrations available from 24-hour twice-weekly samples. Estimates of mineral soil concentrations are computed from a soil formula that combines the masses of crustal elements (e.g. Al, Ca, Fe, Si, and Ti) in their normal oxides using molar correction factors. Descriptions and justifications of this soil formula are provided by Malm et al. [1994]. IMPROVE PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations have been the focus of several studies investigating the long-range transport of dust to the United States [Perry et al., 1997; Guelle et al., 2000; Husar et al., 2001; Hand et al., 2002; VanCuren and Cahill, 2002; VanCuren, 2003].

The Virgin Island site (18.34° N, 64.80° W) has been operating since 1990. We use iron as a more direct comparison with the model to remove any discrepancies due to assumptions in soil composition. Model iron concentrations were derived assuming 3.5% of the dust is iron and from the iron map discussed in section 3.0. The first 2 model bins were summed to represent PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. Both model estimates of iron had similar correlations with the observations during 2001 (see Figure 15 and Table 12) but the model estimates from the iron map were closer in magnitude on average than the dust-derived iron estimate. Higher dust concentrations were observed at the Virgin Island site during the same time periods described in the Barbados comparison, and lower than average concentrations were observed during 2001 compared to its climatological average. Comparing soil concentrations instead of iron concentrations resulted in similar correlations but mean model estimates were much higher than observations (Table 11). Whether the model is reasonably predicting specific events is difficult to determine as the IMPROVE data exist only twice weekly. General agreement of model dust concentrations with observations at the Virgin Island site, the Barbados site and the cruise observations suggests that the model is performing realistically in the Atlantic Ocean region.
In the Pacific we compare model results to two IMPROVE sites in Hawaii. Haleakala National Park (20.81° N, 156.28° W), on the island of Maui, has been operating since 1991 and historically has a typical Asian dust springtime pattern [VanCuren and Cahill, 2002], and comparisons of soil concentrations to a climatological average suggests higher than average soil concentrations at the Hawaii sites during the spring of 2001 (Figure 16). The correlation between IMPROVE PM$_{2.5}$ iron and both cases of model iron results are lower than the Virgin Island site but were within 20% in magnitude on average (Table 11). Similar correlations were computed for Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (19.43° N, 155.26° W), on the island of Hawaii, but the model results were higher than the observations at this site as shown in Figure 17. Reasons for the poorer comparisons between model and observations in the Pacific compared to the Atlantic may be due to offsets in the timing of events at the Pacific sites, for example the iron concentrations peak on day 120 (April 30) at the Hawaii Volcanoes site and the model peaks around day 105 (April 15). Comparing model dust concentrations with IMPROVE soil concentrations instead of iron concentrations increased the correlation at the Haleakala site and decreased the correlation at the Hawaii Volcanoes site (Table 11). The model dust concentrations were much higher than IMPROVE soil concentrations for both Hawaii sites.

Overall the model simulation shows some skill in simulating the gradients and events observed in the data, but the model over predicts fine mode concentrations in both the Atlantic and Pacific basins during 2001, although climatological comparisons suggest better results [Luo et al., 2003] for reasons not understood. Comparisons between the model and climatological observations for the Pacific suggest much higher skill during the average 1979-2000 than seen in 2001 [Luo et al., 2003].
4.0 Modeled iron solubility

We shift now from validating the model performance during 2001 to applying it in iron solubility experiments. The two simple methods chosen to represent processing of soluble iron in the atmosphere should be viewed as sensitivities to these processes, not definitive results. The first method explores the possibility that as insoluble iron is exposed to sunlight it becomes more soluble through photoreduction reactions, as demonstrated in experimental work [e.g Pehkonen et al., 1993]. The second method examines the enhancement of soluble iron through the effects of cloud processing. In these studies we test whether photoreduction or cloud processes hypotheses can explain both the observed size segregation in percent solubility as well as the variability in and magnitude of percent solubility. As previous studies suggest, the effects of other aerosol species on the enhancement of soluble iron could be significant [Zho, 1995; Zhu et al., 1997]. We did not include these effects; at this time we are limited to only simulating mineral aerosols and not their interaction with other species. In addition, no consistent correlations were observed between aerosol species and percent solubility from the in situ data for all cruises (Section 2.2). However, in all cases acidic aerosols were present, but observations did not provide definitive evidence of enhanced iron solubility as a result.

The base-line percent soluble iron is derived from the iron map and total iron derived from dust simulations (3.5 % of total dust). These simulations provide estimates of solubility for the case with no atmospheric processing. The variability in the base-line estimates reflects the differences in sources from the iron map and the dust sources (see Figure 11 and Figure 12).

To estimate the effects of exposure to solar radiation on iron solubility, we assume that exposing insoluble iron to available solar radiation will result in some conversion to soluble iron during daylight hours as suggested by Siefert et al. [1997] and Zhu et al [1997]. We applied an e-
folding timescale of 300 days that fell within the range of values observed in the measurements (~2-20% solubility, see Section 2), assuming maximum solar radiation and clear skies, so the modeled timescale will be longer. We assumed a transport time of 7 to 28 days for mineral aerosols to travel across the Atlantic Ocean from desert dust sources in Africa to the cruise locations [Husar et al., 1997]. The decay rate was computed by equation (1):

\[ \kappa_{SR}(i,j) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{F_{i,j}}{F_{avg}} \frac{1}{\tau_{SR,sol}} \]  

where \( \kappa_{SR}(i,j) \) (1/seconds) corresponds to every latitude and longitude grid point \((i,j)\) in the model and is constant with height, \( \tau_{SR,sol} \) is the soluble decay lifetime (300 days), \( F_{i,j} \) is the shortwave flux at the surface at every location, and \( F_{avg} \) is the global mean shortwave flux (535.25 Wm\(^{-2}\)). The decay acts on all size bins equally. Soluble iron was computed by multiplying the insoluble iron by the decay factor for each time step at each grid point in the model. Therefore, locations with high concentrations of dust and high values of shortwave flux would see the largest conversions to soluble iron. After reaching equilibrium in the model, the global mean decay lifetime for each size bin in the model was approximately 700 days.

We estimated the effects of cloud processing on soluble iron by assuming that conversion to soluble iron occurred when insoluble iron came into contact with a cloud [Siefert et al., 1997; Saydam and Senyuva, 2002]. The decay factor (\( \kappa_{cld}(i,j) \)) was computed with equation (2):

\[ \kappa_{cld}(i,j) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{C_{i,j}}{C_{avg}} \frac{1}{\tau_{cld,sol}} \]  

where \( C_{i,j} \) represents the fraction of a grid box in cloud at every grid latitude and longitude in the model and at each level, and \( C_{avg} \) is the average fraction in the tropics around 10° N (\( C_{avg} = 0.05 \)). All size bins were treated equally. The soluble decay lifetime (\( \tau_{cld,sol} \)) was 300 days.
(assuming clouds always exist with dust, so that the modeled lifetime will be longer). At equilibrium the global mean decay lifetime from these processes was between 370 and 580 days, with longer estimates corresponding to larger size bins. The estimates of soluble iron derived with these methods are clearly sensitive to the values of decay lifetime and parameters involved in the calculation of decay rates, however, given that these values are derived from observations, they represent realistic and simple assumptions.

4.1 Comparisons of observed and modeled iron solubility

Model estimates from the base-line case and the two iron solubility processes are shown with observations in Figures 3(a,b), 5(a,b) and 7(a,b), and the mean values of Fe\textsubscript{sol} for each size mode are given in Table 3. The base-line model estimates under predict iron solubility for all cruises and are significantly correlated only for the MP03 cruise, while the solar radiation (SR) and cloud processing (CP) cases under predict iron solubility for the MP01 cruise, and over predict Fe\textsubscript{sol} for the MP02 and MP03 cruise. If outliers in Fe\textsubscript{sol} are removed from the average observed values during the MP01 cruise, the model estimates (both SR and CP) agree in magnitude with the measured values, and are weakly correlated for both methods (see Table 3). On average the CP method resulted in higher values (up to triple the amount) of percent iron solubility, especially during certain time periods (e.g. through day 20 for the MP01 cruise, see Figure 3a), most likely due to increased cloud cover, as TOMS reflectivity and MODIS images suggest. None of the model simulations captures the overall variability in solubility observed in the data, suggesting either that the model is unable to capture cloudiness and insolation variability, or that other processes are important.
These comparisons raise several questions regarding the processes that enhance soluble iron. Similar distributions of Fe(II) concentrations in the fine and coarse modes in the observations and the model results (not shown) suggest that transport and removal has a significant effect on this distribution and may explain the observed higher solubility in the fine mode fraction. However, the observations do show periods when coarse Fe$_{sol}$ is significant (e.g. MP01, Figure 3a), so perhaps other processes, or fast transport of dust to the measurement locations, are important.

The model Fe$_{sol}$ values resulting from exposure to solar radiation and from cloud processing are similar in magnitude to observations at several locations and periods, however these mechanisms alone do not capture measured events or even the observed variability. While comparisons of the observed aerosol species and Fe$_{sol}$ do not demonstrate consistent correlations, an important aspect of both the photochemical pathway for reduction and cloud processing mechanisms is the presence of other aerosol species, and they were not included in these simulations.

5.0 Global implications

Comparisons of simulated percent soluble iron to observations shown in Section 4.0 suggest that other processes need to be understood before we can correctly simulate the observed soluble iron. However, even the variability simulated in Section 4.0 can be useful to consider globally, as a contrast to the simple approaches to soluble iron taken in previous studies [Fung et al., 2000; Jickells and Spokes, 2001; Gao et al., 2003]. Simulated percent soluble iron estimates suggest both cloud processing and photoreduction reactions are important globally. Annually averaged surface global maps of percent iron solubility for the solar radiation (SR) case are
shown in Figures 18a and 18b for fine and coarse modes, respectively, as simulated for the year 2001. The lowest values of percent Fe_{sol} occur close to source regions, consistent with low solubility of crustal sources. There are stronger north-south gradients in the Atlantic Ocean compared to the Pacific Ocean, although there is a tropical region of higher percent solubility in the Pacific. The fine mode percent solubility is higher than the coarse mode globally, except near source regions where it is roughly the same, most likely due to the shorter lifetimes of larger particles.

Similar maps of global percent iron solubility for the cloud processing (CP) case are shown in Figures 19a and 19b for fine mode and coarse mode particles, respectively. Values of Fe_{sol} for the CP case range near 10% for much of the globe. Smaller values are observed near source regions and a strong north-south gradient exists in the Atlantic Ocean with higher values toward the poles. Coarse mode Fe_{sol} values are smaller than the fine mode values but with a similar geographical distribution as the fine mode.

The fine mode geographical distribution of Fe_{sol} is similar for the SR and CP cases (higher Fe_{sol} nearer source regions) although the CP case has higher values. Coarse mode Fe_{sol} values are higher for the CP case, except over desert regions where solar radiation is plentiful and cloud cover is minimal. Figures 18 and 19 suggest that percent iron solubility is higher on an annual mean in HNLC regions (subarctic and equatorial Pacific and Southern Oceans). Although deposition of dust to these regions is low [e.g. Fung et al., 2000], it is possible that conditions with low total iron concentrations correspond to mineral aerosols with long lifetimes that could participate in considerable iron processing. Qualitatively comparing the observed values of Fe_{sol} from Table 1 suggests that the fine mode model geographical distributions are realistically
representing measured values around the globe, however, this agreement may be due to the wide range of values measured and different sampling years.

6.0 Summary

Iron solubility was measured aboard three cruise ships in the equatorial Atlantic and Pacific Oceans in 2001. Percent solubility ranged from 0-45 % in the fine mode and 0-87 % in the coarse mode. On average fine mode percent solubility was higher than coarse mode solubility for all cruises. Comparisons of observed percent solubility with measured sulfate and oxalate concentrations did not demonstrate consistent correlations. As mentioned in Section 1.0, we use only Fe(II) in the definition of $Fe_{sol}$. Including the total labile Fe (Fe(II) + easily reducible Fe(III)) fraction in this definition would result in higher values of $Fe_{sol}$ because on average (for all cruises) the fine and coarse mode Fe(II) concentrations were approximately half of total labile Fe concentrations.

The estimates of percent iron solubility derived from our modeling study suggest that cloud processing and photoreduction reactions involving insoluble iron result in similar average magnitudes of soluble iron as measured during three cruises in 2001. Estimates from simple assumptions of cloud interactions were higher on average than those derived from photoreduction processes, however, these processes do not exist independently in the atmosphere. Observed percent soluble iron was higher than 40 % on a few occasions, however, none of these events were reproduced by the model. It is possible that high values of iron solubility require interactions between aerosol species to assist in converting insoluble iron to a more soluble form (processes not included in the model), however, no consistent relationship between aerosol species and percent iron solubility was evident from observations.
For all cruises the model was able to reproduce the observed size segregation of percent solubility, although typically exaggerating the fine mode fraction, suggesting that longer lifetimes can be responsible for the higher soluble iron fraction in the fine mode. Size selective cloud processing could also be important but was not tested with the model.

The assumptions applied in this model are necessarily simple and are subject to large uncertainties. For example, we chose parameters to define cloud processing and photoreduction decay rates that are consistent with our observations, however, it is possible that other parameters better describe these processes or that our model does not do an adequate job simulating cloud events. Soluble iron concentrations observed in the coarse mode were not well represented by the model, possibly due to the lack of size dependent processing. Selecting different e-folding timescales for each bin may assist in representing size selective processes. Our assumption that conversion processes are constant with height is subject to uncertainties, especially given that data on vertical distributions of clouds and dust generally are unavailable for model validation. The horizontal distribution and cloud amount in the model is also subject to uncertainty although on average the model does reproduce clouds correctly. The lack of other aerosol species in the model also contributes to uncertainties in our estimates of soluble iron. Although no clear relationship was evident from our observations, experimental studies suggest that aerosol interactions are important aspects of iron reduction processes. It is also possible that we are omitting other important aspects of these processes. For example, during the Pacific MP02 cruise, satellite observations suggested significant cloud cover, and observations demonstrated the presence of important aerosol species, yet this cruise demonstrated the lowest mean values of percent soluble iron. Clearly we may have neglected unknown processes that may be necessary components for enhancing soluble iron. A more complex model with more aerosol species and
higher resolution combined with more observations may help answer these questions. Atmospheric processing of iron in mineral aerosols is important for determining the soluble iron in deposited desert dust, especially in remote ocean regions, and requires further work. Our model results suggest that in remote regions, higher iron solubilities may enhance the ability of ocean biota to take up required iron than constant iron solubilities would suggest.
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Tables

Table 1. Published iron solubility values (%). Values for this study correspond to fine mode averages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>% Iron Solubility</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Pacific Ocean and Barbados</td>
<td>&lt; 1% - 49%</td>
<td>Zhuang et al. [1990, 1992]; Zhu et al. [1993,1997]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Sites</td>
<td>&lt; 50 %</td>
<td>Siefert et al. [1997]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabian Sea</td>
<td>&lt; 4 %</td>
<td>Siefert et al. [1999]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>0.51 %</td>
<td>Johansen et al. [2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>10-90 %</td>
<td>Edwards and Sedwick [2001]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>~2-7 %</td>
<td>Chen and Siefert [2003a,b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>~1.7 %</td>
<td>Chen and Siefert [2003a,b]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Observed and modeled average iron concentrations (µg m$^{-3}$) in the fine mode ($D_p < 2.5 \mu m$) and coarse mode ($D_p > 2.5 \mu m$), listed by cruise. The two model estimates correspond to values derived from an iron map and from a dust source map where iron is assumed to be 3.5 % dust (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>Observations (µg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Model (iron map) (µg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Model (3.5 % dust) (µg m$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP01 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.3  ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP02 (Pacific)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Observed and modeled iron solubility (Fe$_{\text{sol}}$, %) for the fine mode ($\text{D}_p < 2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$) and the coarse mode ($\text{D}_p > 2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$). Values represent the average and one standard deviation. Model values correspond to the base-line (BL) case, the solar radiation (SR) case, and the cloud processing (CP) case. Ratios of mean observations to mean model values are given, as well as correlation coefficients ($r$). Values that are in bold are significant with a 95 % confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>MP01 (Atlantic)</th>
<th>MP02 (Pacific)</th>
<th>MP03 (Atlantic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations (%)</td>
<td>7 ± 13</td>
<td>5 ± 15</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model- (BL) (%)</td>
<td>0.110 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.105 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model- (SR) (%)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model- (CP) (%)</td>
<td>4 ± 5</td>
<td>4 ± 4</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations/Model (BL)</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations/Model (SR)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations/Model (CP)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$: Obs-Model (BL)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$: Obs-Model (SR)</td>
<td><strong>0.44</strong></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$: Obs-Model (CP)</td>
<td><strong>0.43</strong></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Observed and modeled Fe(II) (ng m$^{-3}$) for fine mode ($D_p < 2.5$ µm) and coarse particle modes ($D_p > 2.5$ µm). Values represent the average and one standard deviation. Model values correspond to the solar radiation (SR) case and the cloud processing (CP) case. Ratios of mean observations to mean model values are given, as well as correlation coefficients ($r$). Values in bold are significant with a 95% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>MP01 (Atlantic)</th>
<th>MP02 (Pacific)</th>
<th>MP03 (Atlantic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>6 ± 6</td>
<td>2 ± 3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model- (SR)</td>
<td>18 ± 19</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model- (CP)</td>
<td>15 ± 17</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations/Model (SR)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations/Model (CP)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$: Obs-Model (SR)</td>
<td><strong>0.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.64</strong></td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$: Obs-Model (CP)</td>
<td><strong>0.63</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.53</strong></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Percent of total iron (Fe) and Fe(II) in the fine mode for observations and modeled values, and ratios of fine mode Fe$_{sol}$ to coarse mode Fe$_{sol}$. Model total iron fractions are given for the dust map source and the iron map source. Model estimates of Fe(II) and Fe$_{sol}$ are for the solar radiation case (SR) and the cloud processing case (CP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>Fe fine fraction (%)</th>
<th>Fe(II) fine fraction (%)</th>
<th>Fine Fe$<em>{sol}$/ coarse Fe$</em>{sol}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP01-Observations</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73 %</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP02-Observations</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>75 %</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03-Observations</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>87 %</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iron map</th>
<th>3.5% dust</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP01-Model</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO2-Model</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03-Model</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Correlations coefficients ($r$) between observed non-sea salt sulfate (nss-$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) and oxalate concentrations and percent iron solubility ($\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$) and ferrous iron (Fe(II)) for the fine ($D_p < 2.5 \, \mu m$) and coarse particle modes ($D_p > 2.5 \, \mu m$). Values in bold are significant with a 95% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>MP01 (Atlantic)</th>
<th>MP02 (Pacific)</th>
<th>MP03 (Atlantic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nss-$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and Fe(II)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nss-$\text{SO}<em>4^{2-}$ and $\text{Fe}</em>{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalate and Fe(II)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalate and $\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nss-$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and oxalate</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Wet versus dry deposition of mineral aerosols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>% Wet Deposition (observations)</th>
<th>% Wet Deposition (model)</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam Island</td>
<td>35-43</td>
<td>75-95</td>
<td>Jickells and Spokes [2001].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Ferrat, Mediterranean</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>Guieu et al. [1997].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enewetak Atoll</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75-95</td>
<td>Arimoto et al. [1985].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75-95</td>
<td>Arimoto et al. [1987].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75-95</td>
<td>Arimoto et al. [1990].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>75-85</td>
<td>75-95</td>
<td>Uematsu et al. [1985].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Greenland</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>Davidson et al. [1996].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50-95</td>
<td>Wolff et al. [1998].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8. Correlation coefficients ($r$) and ratios of mean observed total iron concentrations to mean modeled iron concentrations for the fine mode ($D_p < 2.5 \mu m$) and coarse mode ($D_p > 2.5 \mu m$). Modeled iron concentrations were derived using a dust map (iron is 3.5% of dust) and an iron map. Values that are in bold are significant with a 95% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>Observations/Model (iron map)</th>
<th>Observations/Model (3.5 % dust)</th>
<th>$r$ (iron map)</th>
<th>$r$ (3.5 % dust)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP01 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP02 (Pacific)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Correlation coefficients ($r$) and ratios of mean observed aluminum concentrations to mean modeled fine mode ($D_p < 2.5 \mu m$) and coarse mode ($D_p > 2.5 \mu m$). Model aluminum concentrations were derived assuming aluminum is 7% dust (see text). Mean iron to mean aluminum ratios are also given. Values that are in bold are significant with a 95% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>Observations/Model (7% dust)</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Fe/Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fine</td>
<td>coarse</td>
<td>fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP01 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td><strong>0.81</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP02 (Pacific)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03 (Atlantic)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td><strong>0.55</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10. Correlation coefficients \((r)\) and ratios of mean aerosol optical depth from remote sensing and model estimates. The wavelength at which the comparison was made is given. The number of observations included in the comparison is \(N\). \textit{NA} is not applicable. Values that are in bold are significant with a 95% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise (2001)</th>
<th>Correlation Model-Microtops ((\lambda = 675 \text{ nm}))</th>
<th>Correlation Model-MODIS ((\lambda = 660 \text{ nm}))</th>
<th>Correlation MODIS-Microtops ((\lambda = 660, 675 \text{ nm}))</th>
<th>Microtops/Model</th>
<th>Model/MODIS</th>
<th>Microtops/MODIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP01 (Atlantic)</td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong> ((N=12))</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP02 (Pacific)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP03 (Atlantic)</td>
<td><strong>0.83</strong> ((N=18))</td>
<td><strong>0.97</strong> ((N=4))</td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong> ((N=4))</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Correlation coefficients \((r)\) and ratios of mean observed surface dust and iron concentrations to mean model estimates for 2001. With the exception of Barbados, comparisons are for \(\text{PM}_{2.5}\) concentrations. Modeled iron concentrations were derived using a dust map (iron is 3.5 % of dust) and an iron map. The number of observations is given by \(N\), and values in bold are significant at 95%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dust OBS/Model</th>
<th>Iron OBS/Model (soil map)</th>
<th>Iron OBS/Model (3.5 % dust)</th>
<th>Dust correlation</th>
<th>Iron correlation (soil map)</th>
<th>Iron correlation (3.5 % dust)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbados ((N = 287))</td>
<td><strong>0.47</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><strong>0.43</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands ((N = 108))</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td><strong>0.47</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.37</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.63</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.63</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haleakalana, Hawaii ((N = 109))</td>
<td><strong>0.35</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.82</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.39</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.24</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii Volcanoes, Hawaii ((N = 108))</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td><strong>0.28</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.26</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.23</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.29</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) Cruise track of MP01 (6 January – 22 February 2001) (b) Cruise track of MP02 (9-30 April 2001) (c) Cruise track of MP03 (25 June – 19 August 2001).

Figure 2. MP01 Total iron concentrations (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5% of dust is iron) and iron map.

Figure 3. (a) MP01 percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter < 2.5 µm). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Similar, but for the coarse mode (diameter > 2.5 µm).

Figure 4. MP02 Total iron concentrations (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5% of dust is iron) and iron map.

Figure 5. (a) MP02 percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter < 2.5 µm). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Similar, but for the coarse mode (diameter > 32.5 µm).

Figure 6. MP03 Total iron concentrations (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5% of dust is iron) and iron map.

Figure 7. (a) MP03 percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter < 2.5 µm). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Same as (a) but for the coarse mode (diameter > 2.5 µm).

Figure 8. (a) MP01 Fine mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) on the left axis. (b) MP01 Coarse mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.

Figure 9. (a) MP02 Fine mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) on the left axis. (b) MP02 Coarse mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.

Figure 10. (a) MP03 Fine mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (Fe\(_{\text{sol}}\), %) on the left axis. (b) MP03 Coarse mode nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\) and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m\(^{-3}\)) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.

Figure 11. Global map of iron in soils (µg g\(^{-1}\)). See text for explanation.
Figure 12. Global map of the ratio of the annual average atmospheric iron concentrations derived from the iron map in Figure 11 to iron concentrations derived from 3.5 % dust concentrations.

Figure 13. (a) MP01 Aerosol optical depth ($\tau_{\text{aer}}$) for model (660 nm) and Microtops sunphotometer (675 nm). Two days of MODIS L2 (660nm) measurements are included. (b) MP03 Aerosol optical depth ($\tau_{\text{aer}}$) for model (660 nm), Microtops sunphotometer (675 nm) and MODIS L2 (660 nm).

Figure 14. Daily Barbados observations (2001) of total dust and model estimates ($\mu g m^{-3}$).

Figure 15. Twice-weekly Virgin Island observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu g m^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.

Figure 16. Twice-weekly Haleakala National Park, Hawaii, observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu g m^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.

Figure 17. Twice-weekly Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, Hawaii, observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu g m^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.

Figure 18. (a) Fine mode annually averaged (2001) global percent iron solubility ($Fe_{\text{sol}}$, %) estimates derived from the solar radiation processing case. (b) Similar, but for the coarse particle mode.

Figure 19. (a) Fine mode annually averaged (2001) global percent iron solubility ($Fe_{\text{sol}}$, %) estimates derived from the cloud processing case. (b) Similar, but for the coarse particle mode.
Figure 1. (a) Cruise track of MP01 (6 January – 22 February 2001) (b) Cruise track of MP02 (9-30 April 2001) (c) Cruise track of MP03 (25 June – 19 August 2001).
Figure 2. MP01 Total iron concentrations (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5% of dust is iron) and iron map.
Figure 3. (a) MP01 percent soluble iron ($\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$, %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter < 2.5 $\mu$m). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Similar, but for the coarse mode (diameter > 2.5 $\mu$m)
Figure 4. MP02 Total iron concentrations (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5% of dust is iron) and iron map.
Figure 5. (a) MP02 percent soluble iron ($\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$, %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter < 2.5 µm). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Similar, but for the coarse mode (diameter > 2.5 µm)
Figure 6. MP03 Total iron concentrations (µg m$^{-3}$) from observations and two model estimates for the fine (diameter < 2.5 µm) and coarse particle modes. Model estimates are derived from a dust source map (3.5 % of dust is iron) and iron map.
Figure 7. (a) MP03 percent soluble iron ($\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$, %) from observations and model estimates in the fine mode (diameter $< 2.5 \, \mu\text{m}$). The model estimates are for a base-line case (no processing), solar radiation (SR) processing and cloud processing (CP) cases. (b) Same as (a) but for the coarse mode (diameter $> 2.5 \, \mu\text{m}$)

(a) Fine mode Iron Solubility (%) MP03 Atlantic Cruise (2001)

(b) Coarse mode Iron Solubility (%) MP03 Atlantic Cruise (2001)
Figure 8 (a) MP01 Fine mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (Fe$_{sol}$, %) on the left axis. (b) MP01 Coarse mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.
Figure 9 (a) MP02 Fine mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (Fe$_{sol}$, %) on the left axis. (b) MP02 Coarse mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.
Figure 10 (a) MP03 Fine mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (Fe$_{sol}$, %) on the left axis. (b) MP03 Coarse mode nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and oxalate concentrations on the right axis (µg m$^{-3}$) and percent soluble iron (%) on the left axis.
Figure 11 Global map of iron in soils (µg g$^{-1}$). See text for explanation.
Figure 12. Global map of the ratio of the annual average atmospheric iron concentrations derived from the iron map in Figure 11 to iron concentrations derived from 3.5 % dust concentrations.
Figure 13. (a) MP01 Aerosol optical depth ($\tau_{aer}$) for model (660 nm) and Microtops sunphotometer (675 nm). Two days of MODIS L2 (660nm) measurements are included. (b) MP03 Aerosol optical depth ($\tau_{aer}$) for model (660 nm), Microtops sunphotometer (675 nm) and MODIS L2 (660 nm).
Figure 14. Daily Barbados observations (2001) of total dust and model estimates (µg m\(^{-3}\)).
Figure 15. Twice-weekly Virgin Island observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.
Figure 16. Twice-weekly Haleakala National Park, Hawaii, observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.
Figure 17. Twice-weekly Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, Hawaii, observations (2001) from the IMPROVE network and daily model estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ iron concentrations ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$). Model estimates include iron derived from a dust source map and from an iron map.
Figure 18. (a) Fine mode annually averaged (2001) global percent iron solubility ($\text{Fe}_{\text{sol}}$, %) estimates derived from the solar radiation (SR) processing case. (b) Similar, but for the coarse particle mode.
Figure 19. (a) Fine mode annually averaged (2001) global percent iron solubility ($Fe_{sol}$, %) estimates derived from the cloud processing (CP) case. (b) Similar, but for the coarse particle mode.